

Fakultät für Gesundheitswissenschaften

Landesinstitut für Gesundheit und Arbeit des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen





#### Burden of Disease in North Rhine-Westphalia (BoD in NRW), part 1

Quantifying the health impacts of policies - principles, methods, and models Düsseldorf, March, 16 - 17, 2010

C. Terschüren



### Key questions:

### Effects of demografic change in NRW

- which effect has the demographic change in North Rhine Westphalia on the burden of disease?
- 2025: which diseases are contributing which proportion to burden of disease, resulting in needs in terms of health care?



## Demografic change in NRW cont.

Characteristics:

- decrease in population : approx. -2.5% until 2025
- life expectancy in 2025: increased by 2 years male newborns: 75.8 years (2004) 78.3 (2025) female newborns: 81.3 years (2004) 83.5 (2025)

Source: population forecast. LDS NRW

	200	)4	202	25	2025 v	s 2004	
Age group	male	female	male	female	male	female	ən
0	80952	76868	77110	73068	95%	95%	
1-4	343768	326502	314552	298985	92%	92%	
5-9	478086	454815	394200	375131	82%	82%	
10-14	523088	496979	388647	369965	74%	74%	
15-19	527095	503422	402602	385325	76%	77%	
20-24	516247	508415	461316	459973	89%	90%	
25-29	507824	505354	540502	541166	106%	107%	
30-34	582337	573735	584655	587843	100%	102%	
35-39	781410	752688	568939	580810	73%	77%	
40-44	782147	754707	527277	546083	67%	72%	
45-49	679704	667361	487080	512604	72%	77%	
50-54	587953	600430	545798	566557	93%	94%	
55-59	488125	496806	721319	726561	148%	146%	
60-64	534125	F	691495	707323	129%	127%	
65-69	536944		5 155	602		102%	
70-74	368254	4 <b>-2.5</b>	<b>%</b> 43 7	514	107 33	116%	
75-79	070070	4000799		391142	114%	96%	
80-84	18.075	5.352	26/836	370468	188%	114%	
85+	71799	229780	17.608	<b>3.020</b> 2	365%	199%	
total	8 803 255	9 272 097	8 540 989	9 067 031	97%	98%	







Identifying relevant cancer sites:

- lung: 26% of the male cancer patients die of lung cancer, 12% of the female - colon/rectum: either in men and women, 12% of the cancer patients in total die of colon/rectum cancer - stomach: men: 5.6%; women 5.1% men: 5.5%; women 6.2% - pancreas: men: not ranked ; women 20.0% - breast: men: 9.4% - prostate: women. 6.3% - ovary:

Source: Krebsatlas, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ). 2003



# Selected health outcomes for BoD prognosis

Health outcomes	ICD-10
Selected tumour sites	
Lung	C34
Colon	C18
Rectum	C20
Pancreas	C25
Stomach	C16
Prostate	C61
Breast	C50
Ovary	C56
Myocardial infarction	121-123
Dementia	F00, F03, G30-G31



# Burden of disease = mortality + disability due to mobidity

expressed as DALYs (Disability-Adjusted Life Years):

1 DALY = loss of 1 year lived in complete health calculated as:

DALY = YLL + YLD

YLL = years of life lost because of premature death

YLD = years of life lived with disability due to illness



#### WHO approach adapted cont.

 $YLL = N \times (L - I)$ 

**YLL** = years of life lost (due to premature death)

- **N** = number of deaths in the population
- L = life expectancy (by age group)
- I = age at death



# WHO approach adapted cont. $YLL = N \times (L - I)$

- **N** = number of deaths in the population
  - data source: death statistics of NRW,
  - by administrative unit: county / major city
- = age at death (by age group)
- L = life expectancy (by age group)



# WHO approach adapted cont.

 $YLD = I \times DW \times d$ 

YLD = years lived with disabilities due to the disease

- I = number of incident cases in the population
- **DW** = *disability- weight*. disease specific
- d = time period lived with disabilities [years]

## DALY = YLL + YLD



## **Data sources**

#### mortality, incidence

- Cancer registry NRW (tumour sites)
- German infarction registry within the KORA Study, Augsburg (MI)
- Meta-analysis (dementia)

#### population forecast

NRW statitistics bureau

#### calculation tools

- WHO Excel template
- DisMod function
- Ms Access based tool



🗑 Übersicht : Formular	
Basisdaten Regionen Gesundheitsendpunkte Daten nach Dis	sModII übertragen Prognosen erstellen/einsehen
vorhandene Bevölkerungsdaten	
Stichtag Anzahl männlich Anzahl weiblich	Neuen Bevölkerungs-
01.01.2005 8803255 9272097 🕅	datensatz einlesen
01.01.2025 8540988 9067023 🕅	
-	-
_	
	Todesursachenstatistik
Todesursachenstatistik 2005 ist vorhanden	einlesen
Sterbetafel 2004 ist vorhanden	Sterbetafel bearbeiten



def	erte Regionen	
	gion Nordrhein-Westfalen	
	aehörige Kreise und kreisfreie Städte	
	Düsseldorf	
	Essen	
	Krefeld	
	Mönchengladbach	
	Mülheim a.d. Ruhr	
	Oberhausen	
	Remscheid	
	Solingen	
	Wuppertal	
	Kleve	
	Mettmann	
	Rhein-Kreis Neuss	
	Viersen	
	Wesel	
	Aachen	



Basisdaten	Regionen	Gesundheitsendpunkte	Daten nach DisModII übertragen	Prognosen erstellen/einsehen	
definier	te Gesundk	eitsendnunkte			
Ges end ICD- *	undheits- punkt Codes Disab	Lungenkrebs	meter		
			▼		



vorhandene Gesundheitsendpunkte Lungenkrebs Magenkrebs	ExcelMappe erstellen für Prognosejahr	<u> </u>	Gesundheitsendpunkt     demenzielle Erkrankungen ei       Datum     07.10.2008       Mappe     Image: Comparison of the second seco
Colonkrebs Rektumkrebs Bauchspeicheldrüsenkrebs (Pa Brustkrebs Eierstockkrebs (Ovar) Prostatakrebs			
		-	





2004 vs.2025: approx. 10,000 additional cases =  $\emptyset$  34% increase





2004 vs.2025: approx. 4,500 additional cases =  $\emptyset$  20% increase





Folie 18



## **BoD prognosis of demografic change in NRW**

#### Ruhr area (urban)



## East Westphalia Lippe (OWL) (rural)



2004

2025

2025 vs. 2004



Age group	male	female	male	female	male	female	
0	22253	20991	19888	18887	89%	90%	
1-4	93713	88636	81514	77416	87%	87%	
5-9	131202	124253	103203	97969	79%	79%	
10-14	147184	139589	102317	97188	70%	70%	Ruhr
15-19	149553	143274	105720	101259	71%	71%	area
20-24	148175	145519	121833	121596	82%	84%	
25-29	146714	143808	144256	143701	98%	100%	
30-34	167133	162550	157608	157249	94%	97%	
35-39	220142	210552	152977	155446	69%	74%	
40-44	222565	214464	142200	146317	64%	68%	
45-49	202491	199865	132584	137690	65%	69%	
50-54	180239	184621	150037	153947	83%	83%	
55-59	150877	152209	- 9.5%	196718	130%	129%	
60-64	160424	1	19045	195033	- 493	217	
65-69	160712	1815.0	160667	175023	100 %	97 /0	
70-74	116007	142395	128540	53512	111%	108%	
75-79	5 3	202 170	91188	115508	103%	86%	
80-84			<u> </u>	808.96	2 165%	101%	
85+	20620	70386	7		349%	187%	
<sup>F</sup> total	2573085	2729094	2327638	2481324	90%	91%	





2004 vs.2025: approx. 2,200 additional cases =  $\emptyset$  23% increase

Folie 21





2004 vs.2025: approx. 650 additional cases =  $\emptyset$  8% increase





Folie 23





Folie 24







#### **BoD prognosis of demografic change in NRW - Outlook**

- prognoses of the development of burden of disease demonstrate large changes
- potentially associated with opportunities for considerable health gains via a range of preventive measures across different sectors
- initiate preparedness in health care for a higher number of patients of very old age
- (medical) therapies need to become more adjusted for patient of old age
- the prognoses will be used as baseline estimates in upcoming HIAs, with the effects of different interventions on health to be quantified accordingly



#### Thank you very much!

#### ... and now I pass on to: EBD in NRW

Claudia Hornberg University of Bielefeld





# Burden of Disease in North Rhine-Westphalia (BoD in NRW),

part 2: Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS)

#### before implementation of non-smoker-protection legislation

Claudia Hornberg, School of Public Health, University of Bielefeld Reinhard Samson, School of Public Health, University of Bielefeld Thomas Claßen, School of Public Health, University of Bielefeld Odile C.L. Mekel, NRW Institute of Health and Work (LIGA.NRW) Claudia Terschüren, NRW Institute of Health and Work (LIGA.NRW) Rainer Fehr, NRW Institute of Health and Work (LIGA.NRW)





#### **Background and objectives**

#### Background:

- Non-smokers exposed to environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) indoors are at risk of the same acute and chronic illnesses (e.g., respiratory & cardiovascular diseases) as are smokers.
- Children are particularly sensitive to ETS.
- Prenatal exposure of a foetus if the mother smokes during pregnancy can have severe adverse healths effects.
- In 2008 legislation came into effect in NRW to protect nonsmokers from ETS at the workplace, at recreational sites and inside public buildings.





## **Background and objectives**

#### **Objectives:**

- Estimate the ETS-caused EBD in NRW under the conditions before 2008.
- Estimate the health gains expected from this legislation.
- Test the method developed by the WHO for assessing the environmental burden of disease (EBD) from ETS.





# From BoD to comparative risk assessment (CRA) and environmental burden of disease (EBD)







## How to do EBD

- Specify exposure
- Define appropriate health outcomes
- Specify the dose-response relationships
- Derive population baseline frequency measures for the health outcomes (from morbidity and mortality statistics)
- Calculate the number of attributable cases in the target population
- Calculate DALYs attributable to a specific risk factor
- Calculate/assess potential health gains (scenarios)





#### **Exposure-based approach in EBD**







## Assumptions for ETS exposure assessment I

- The smoking prevalence and ETS exposure in non-smokers was estimated from data of the
  - German Health Survey 2003
  - German Epidemiological Survey on addictions 2003 (self-assessments given through telephone interviews).

#### Limitations:

- Data about ETS exposure is differentiated by site of exposure (home, workplace, recreational facilities, other places), but the magnitude of exposure cannot be estimated due to survey design.
- Exposure can only be assumed at home and at work because exposure at recreational facilities and other places is irregular.





## Assumptions for ETS exposure assessment II

- Smokers are also exposed to ETS, but the additional impact of ETS can be neglected because of the exceedingly high impact of smoking itself.
- Even being a former smoker by far exceeds the impact of ETS regarding lung cancer and COPD (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease).
- Foetal exposure is estimated from the smoking habits of the woman.





#### Prevalence of smoking

	Cur smo (%	rent kers ⁄⁄ə)	Curi non-sn (%	rent nokers %)	Never s (%	smo 6)	ked	Forme		r smokers (%)	
Age	Μ	F	М	F	М		F	М		F	
20-29	54	43	46	57	31		42	69		58	
30-39	45	37	55	63	33		39	67		61	
40-49	43	36	57	64	26		38	74		62	
50-59	32	28	68	72	31		44	69		56	
60-69	20	15	80	85	35		65	65		35	
70-79	15	6	85	94	28		71	72		29	
80+	8	4	92	96	28		71	72		29	

Source: Telephone health survey 2003; Lampert, Burger 2005





#### **ETS exposure of non-smoking men**







# ETS exposure of non-smoking women







#### Health outcomes

	ICD-10	Age groups	Population of non- smokers
Lung cancer	C33, C34	>20 years	Never smoked
Coronary heart disease (CHD)	120-124	>20 years	Never smoked & former smokers
COPD	J41-J44	>20 years	Never smoked and former smokers
Stroke	160-169	>20 years	Never smoked
Low birth weight	P07.0, P07.1	0 years	
Sudden infant death (SIDS)	C33, C34	<1 year	





# Burden of disease (BoD) attributable to tobacco smoke: Assumptions

#### For children:

Active smoking has a minor impact.

 $\rightarrow$  ETS is responsible for the total burden of disease due to tobacco smoke.

#### For adults:

The BoD fraction attributable to ETS must be estimated by excluding the BoD due to active smoking.





#### BoD attributable to ETS (cases in 2004)

	Premature deaths	Incidence	Premature deaths	Incidence	Premature deaths	Incidence		
	Adults							
	Males		Females		Total			
Lung cancer	31	34	42	45	74	79		
CHD	257	781	333	606	590	1387		
COPD	4	60	12	75	16	135		
Stroke	44	122	118	225	162	347		
		Children						
Low birth weight					3	822		
0100					27			
Sum	336	997	505	951	869	2770		
Total Burden	31828	55116	31000	51986	62828	107102		





#### **BoD attributable to ETS in DALYs in 2004**

	YLL	YLD	YLL	YLD	YLL	YLD	DALY	DALY/ Mio. inh.
				Ad	ults			
	Males		Women		Total			
Lung cancer	266	5	356	45	623	50	673	37,23
CHD	2032	847	1322	395	3353	1242	4596	254,24
COPD	31	89	58	113	89	202	291	16,10
Stroke	251	208	454	366	705	574	1279	70,76
	Children							
Low birth weight SIDS					787 98		787 98	43,54 5,42
Sum	2580	949	2190	909	5655	2068	7724	378,33





## Health gains due to intervention

Assumption: eliminating ETS exposure at work

 $\rightarrow$  Reduction of DALYs by 26%

#### Limitations:

BoD attributable to ETS might be underestimated due to limitations of the study design.





## Conclusions

- Legislation protecting non-smokers cannot directly influence the ETS exposure at home.
- Further efforts are needed to reduce active smoking, especially amongst children and adolescents.
- → Examples would include smoke-free schools and recreational facilities as well as other measures aimed at fighting the ubiquitousness of smoking.





# Thank you for your attention!